

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/736,022	PING ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John J. Zimmerman	1775	

All Participants:

(1) John J. Zimmerman.

(2) John S. Reid (Reg. No. 36,369).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 March 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 NA

Claims discussed:
 all pending claims

Prior art documents discussed:
 NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


JOHN J. ZIMMERMAN
 (Examiner/Supervising Examiner)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called and left a message on Mr. Reid's voice mail regarding the election of Group I, Species II, in the response received February 7, 2005. The examiner noted that the elected species claims had been canceled. Mr. Reid called back and left a message noting that the election was a typographical error and that applicant intended to elect Group I, Species I. Pending claims 1-16 read on Species I.